

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/463,590	04/20/2000	SAMUEL J. LANDRY	07005/00302	6521
21559 7	7590 03/15/2004		EXAMINER	
CLARK & ELBING LLP			DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN	
101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
		DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed 11/18/03 is acknowledged and has been entered.

The following rejection remains.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10-13 and 15-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hess (U.S. Patent No. 6,326,465) as evidenced by the URLs <a href="http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/peptidecutter/peptidecu

During patent examination, the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater , 162 USPQ 541, 550 - 51 (CCPA 1969).

Applicant's arguments in Applicant's response filed 11/18/03 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

It is Applicant's position in Applicant's response filed 11/18/03 that Hess et al do not disclose modulating an immune response by employing an altered protein, fragment thereof, containing an unstable sequence inserted into the interior of a protein or fragment thereof, by artifice as required by instant claim one, i.e., the product disclosed by Hess et al is a fusion protein. It is Applicant's position that claim 1 requires an unstable polypeptide sequence be inserted by artifice *into the interior of* the naturally occurring protein, fragment thereof, and not at a terminus.

It is the Examiner's position that the claim language recited in instant claim 1, i.e., into the interior of, as broadly interpreted is not limited to insertion into the interior of a linear sequence, i.e., that the insertion can be at any portion of the protein, fragment thereof,

Art Unit: 1644

particularly since the interior of a protein can be any portion that is not solvent exposed or minimally solvent exposed in the 3 dimensional structure.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne DiBrino whose telephone number is 571-272-0842. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chan Y Christina, can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Group 1640

Technology Center 1600

March 12, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600